Case: 1:18-op-46355-DAP Doc #: 6 Filed: 12/20/18 1 of 2. PageID #: 46

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -71)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,200 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Dec 20, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-71 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
INDIANA NORTHERN			
INN	1	18-00395	Upland Indiana Town of v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
INN	1	18-00399	Huntington Indiana City of v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
INN	3	18-00970	Starke County Indiana v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
KENTUCKY EASTERN			
KYE	5	18-00627	City of Winchester et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
KYE	5	18-00629	W.E. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NEVADA			
NV	3	18-00572	Yerington Paiute Tribe v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN			
WVS	2	18-01472	The City of Kenova, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al